

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

SUSAN S. MUCK (CSB NO. 126930)
smuck@fenwick.com
DEAN S. KRISTY (CSB NO. 157646)
dkristy@fenwick.com
CATHERINE D. KEVANE (CSB NO. 215501)
ckevane@fenwick.com
LESLIE KRAMER (CSB NO. 253313)
lkramer@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
555 California Street, 12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 875-2300
Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

Attorneys for Defendants
ShoreTel, Inc.; John W. Combs; Michael E. Healy;
Edwin J. Basart; Mark F. Bregman; Gary J.
Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D.
Denman; Charles D. Kissner; and Edward F.
Thompson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re SHORETEL, INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. C-08-00271-CRB

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
ANSWER THE SECOND AMENDED
CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT**

This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS.

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2009, Plaintiffs Loren Swanson and Art Landesman
(collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed their Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the
"SAC") against Defendants ShoreTel, Inc.; John W. Combs, Michael E. Healy, Edwin J. Basart,
Mark Bregman, Gary J. Daichendt, Thomas Van Overbeek, Kenneth D. Denman, Charles D.
Kissner, and Edward Thompson (the "ShoreTel Defendants"); and Lehman Brothers Inc. and J.P.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
ANSWER

CASE NO. C-08-00271-CRB

1 Morgan Securities, Inc. (the “Underwriter Defendants”) (collectively, “Defendants”);

2 WHEREAS, this action is stayed as to Lehman Brothers Inc. as a result of its liquidation
3 under the Securities Investor Protection Act;

4 WHEREAS, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss and request for judicial notice on
5 June 1, 2009;

6 WHEREAS, the Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants’ motion to dismiss
7 on August 19, 2009;

8 WHEREAS, Defendants’ answers to the SAC are currently due on September 2, 2009;

9 WHEREAS, the extension of time for Defendants’ to file their answers will not alter the
10 date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order;

11 ACCORDINGLY, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties, by and through their counsel
12 of record, hereby stipulate that the deadline for Defendants to file their answers to the SAC is
13 September 25, 2009.

14 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

1 Dated: August 27, 2009

FENWICK & WEST LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Catherine D. Kevane
4 Catherine D. Kevane

5 Attorneys for Defendants
6 ShoreTel, Inc.; John W. Combs; Michael E.
7 Healy; Edwin J. Basart; Mark F. Bregman;
8 Gary J. Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek;
9 Kenneth D. Denman; Charles D. Kissner; and
10 Edward F. Thompson

11 Dated: August 27, 2009

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP

12 By: /s/ Charlene S. Shimada
13 Charlene S. Shimada

14 Attorneys for Defendant
15 J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.

16 Dated: August 27, 2009

KAHN SWICK & FOTI, LLC

17 By: /s/ Kim Miller
18 Kim Miller


19 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

20 ~~**PROPOSED**~~ ORDER

21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: August 28, 2009

23 By: The Honorable Charles R. Breyer



STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
ANSWER